

You will own nothing and you will be happy

UPDATE 3/28/2024. For over thirty years David Icke has predicted what the 0.0003% will do. Then, about two years later he will say: Now you no longer have to believe me, you can now see it. And then he makes more predictions. Lao Tzu's Tao Te Ching was written down 2500 years ago. There he has described what the 1% were doing then. I have studied his book since 1970. The flier I am updating is two pages long, and I will not take any more space than that here.

Let me just use the 40th and 41st character of chapter 69 as an example: Don't Ch'ing⁸⁵ Ti⁶⁶! I am using Jonathan Star's VERBATIN TRANSLATION to get the English equivalents of these two characters. For Ch'ing he gives us six equivalents, and the fifth one is "Underestimating". For Ti, we get four and the first one is "Enemy". Lao Tzu could give this advise to us or to our "Enemy". Some translators have: Don't "underestimate the powers of your enemy." What are these "powers"? ...

The 1% has economic (D), political (C), intellectual (B) and metaphysical, or psychic (A) powers. In their New World Order (A-B-C-D) these powers are combined. In his REPUBLIC Plato devotes one chapter to the description of each of these IMPERFECT SOCIETIES. He already said then that money is the power in his Oligarchy (D). Letters A, B, C and D come from Desmond Lee's translation of the REPUBLIC. In a Tyranny (C) might is right and in the Timocracy (B) we have knowledge (B) is power. In the Republic Democracy (A) is on level A, but Plato doesn't describe it well because his ideal form of government is a Timocracy (B). But instead of the unelected advisors (B) we have, the Brahmins (B) the Hindus have or the "Cultural Sphere" of society Steiner has, the 15 has the Satanist religion. When they will have reached their goal Satanism is the official religion in the New World Order, and all other religions are treated like Falun Gong is treated in China. If you don't want that to happen to your religion then you must inform yourself.

Now let's get back to DavidIcke.com We are at the tipping point in the last days in which there is nothing hidden that shall not be revealed. The truth is out there on the internet. That's why the saying of Jesus that : Those who are not with me are against me, was prophetic. Why?
...

Because up to now people could sit on the fence. Now the fence is gone; you are either for the truth or you are against it. You can no longer be indifferent about it, because your own life, the

live of your family and country depends on it. The protesters against the Israeli and US governments come to mind. We all should be out there. Each of us must do what s/he can do. These fliers are the best I can do because the 1% has taken away my three websites. I hope that a "WritEr(C2er)" who understands this will do his or her dharma

3/29/2024. The truth comes into the world through those who are doing their dharma. These are those who have a conscience and who speak the truth because "The wages of sin is death". Tucker Carlson asked Russel Brand: Why are you doing the things that get you into so much trouble? And Russel said: "I have no choice." And Tucker laughed out loud. He knew exactly what Russel meant. Those that know what their dharma is can't do anything else but their dharma. And that's why the 1% can't win the ideological war that is fought in a Timocracy (B). Here knowledge (B) is power. In Capitalism (D) money is power. Above Capitalism is Dictatorship (C). There might is right, Above Tyranny (C) there is Timocracy (B). As long as we have it, the truth has a chance. Above Timocracy is Religion (A). Plato has Democracy on level A of his "Divided Line". Plato's ideal government is a Timocracy (B). That's why he doesn't do justice to Democracy (A). but when his Philosopher King is on level B then that is better than the unelected advisors (B) we have or the Brahmins (B) the Hindus have. Whether the King is better, or as good as the people in Steiner's "Cultural Sphere" depends on them.

The New World Order(A-B-C-D) is the whole in which Plato's four IMPERFECT SOCIETIES are the parts. The same is true of Democracy (A-B-C-D).

The four levels of society are represented on Plato's "Divided Line". They are: Religion (A) Philosophy (B), Politics (C) and Economics (D). Steiner has the "Economic Sphere" on level D and the "Political Sphere" on level C. Gurdjieff has the "Moving center" (D), the "Emotional center" (C) and the "Intellectual center" (D). That's why I call the social sphere on level B the Intellectual sphere. In Steiner's "Threefold Social Order" the "Cultural Sphere" is on top and there are no elections. In our Timocracy (B) there are elections, and the level on which public elections are made is the Public sphere.

In the Timocracy (B) we have knowledge (B) is power. Because the 1% knows that, they are in control of the educational system and own the media. The good news is that the truth is available in the alternative media. But you must be willing and able to look for it.

Lao Tzu said in chapter 69: Don't "Underestimate Enemy". See how different translators have translated these two characters. Some say: Don't "Underestimate the powers of your Enemy." If you are, or want to be a student of Lao Tzu then you must fill in what he has left out. The translator, I have quoted here, has done some of his homework. The next question is ... What are the "powers" our "Enemy" has? ...

He has Economic (C), Political (C) and Intellectual (B) powers. But what power is at A? ... They have Metaphysical, or Psychic (A). I have directly experienced that power, when I was supposed to die by suffocation. It was Reiki that saved my life.

Victims

of drummed up accusations, prosecutions,

assassinations, or assassination attempts, censorship etc. all have something to say, which the 0.003, the Globalists, Banksters, Illuminati etc. don't want us to know. Julian Assange is the best example of this but all of us, who have something to say and say it, know this to be true.

2500 years ago Lao Tzu already said in his Tao Te Ching that the 1% DOESN'T WANT US TO SAY THE "Truth": When "Below(-) average People(#i) Hear(5q) the Truth(A1) they Greatly(TA) Ridicule(*41) It(_Z)" or "Them(_Z)". I am using Jonathan Star's scholarly work on the Tao Te Ching to do my translations. For Hsiao(*41) he gives us the following English equivalents: "laughs / ridicules / belittles" Hsiao118 is only in this chapter. Here it means that the "Below(-)" average Chih(#i) will do everything they can to prevent the "Truth" from getting out. This not only involves censorship but also murder. For instance, I have survived at least five assassination attempts. Over the years, I have had three websites. PetersTao.blogspot.com and PetersTao.org were simply shut down. PetersTao.com is no longer accessible, but it is for sale. The fliers I have to use aren't as effective. But maybe a "WordEr(C2er)" will find his dharma.

I have made a New Year's resolution for 2023 to be in the Parkdale Library on Queen at Brock every Tuesday from 1 to 5 PM. I put a flier next to me so people can find me. I am waiting for the "WritEr(C2er)" who will edit and put this stuff out on the internet. I have worked on the Tao Te Ching since 1970. Over the years I have developed a TranslationConcordance file that can serve as a learning tool. Let me give you Ching 70 as an example of wha it is about.

- Ching 70. A
- 01 myC25iezkn: "My Words are Very Easy to Understand, and
- 05 5iezpr. "Very Easy to Practice".
- 09 Tn_ "But in this"World
- 11 MOabkn: "Nobody is Able to Understand them and
- 14-MOabpr: "Nobody is Able to translate my instructions into Practice."
- B
- 17 C2YUbe. "Words (C) Have an Ancestor."
- 20 D2YU3X. "Deeds (D) Have a Master."
- C
- 23 hehoWUkn,: "He Who is Without this Understanding (of the Aristotelian tetrad),
- 27 SiYIPUmekn. "ThereFore does Not Understand Me(me). "
- 32 knmeer6d: "Know Me Ones, those able to understand me, are Few."
- 36 18meerKu: "Follow Me Ones, those willing to folloe me, Value" my words.
- D
- 40 SiYlwsmn@o=z: . "ThereFore the Wise Man Wears Coarse-clothes and
- .. *b#v "Hides his Treasures" beneath it. *b = Huai61.

To find out where the above quote comes from, you can read my DictionaryConcordance file into your computer, press Ctrl and F together, type myC25iezkn, press Enter and you get there.

I will now comment on Ching 70. I picked Ching 70 because it is a good one to start with and because I know it well "Enough(Zu)". I will squeeze a lot of information on the rest of this page. It is hard to understand but as long as it makes sense to the "WritErs(C2er)" I try to reach, it will do.

Jonathan Star has Commented on Ching 01 from Page 271 to 294, and then again from page 341 to 344. That's a total of 27 pages. This is an example of the work that can go into a chapter. If you do this work you can see that not all of Lao Tzu's "Words are Very Easy to understand, and not all of his instructions are Very Easy to Execute."

Lao Tzu said "Identify(Mg) the IdentifyAble(ptMg)"! I have "Identified" Aristotelian tetrads in the Ching. At 70.3,1 Lao Tzu said: If you are "Without the Understanding" of 70.2 then you don't know what I am talking about. Ching 42.1 is to the Tao Te Ching what Gita 3.42 is to the Bhagavad Gita and Plato's "Divided Line" is to his REPUBLIC. Here it is:

01 A1Sg_1. "Tao Produced One." "One" = A.

04 _1Sg_2. "One Produces Two." "Two" = B.

07 _2Sg_3. "Two Produces Three." "Three" = C.

10 _3SgWnwU. "Three Produces All Things." "All Things" = D. All physical Things are the Aristotelian "Material Cause". In business A is the customer's Demand. In the IBM computer programming system, B is the "Programmer's" Ideological supply, C is the "Coder's" verbal supply and D is the Computer's material supply. This is the Aristotelian "Material cause". There is more to it, but you can bring your questions to me in the Library.

Lao Tzu said: "ThinkErs(kner) are Not(PU) good enough with Writing(C2), WordErs(C2er) are Not(PU) good enough with Thinking(kn)." That's why Lao Tzu told them to "Unite(\$1)". And that's why I need a "WritEr(C2er)" to "Unite" with. Then we can "Mutually Produce (mtSg)" more "Together(mt)" than we can produce by ourselves. We will then be the whole that is greater than the sum of its parts. If a "ThinkEr" understands this then s/he will do it no matter what the 1% does to prevent it. The Ching is a textbook. In chapters that are Aristotelian tetrads, the first paragraph is the problem statement. What is the problem at 70.1? ...

The Aristotelian tetrad starts with the question at A. How can our teacher's "Words be Very Easy to Understand" and. "Nobody can Understand" them? And how come that his instructions are "Very Easy to Execute" and "Nobody can Execute them"? ...

At Ching 70.3,2 Lao Tzu said: Those that are able to understand me are "Few". And at 70.3,3 He said: Those that are willing to follow me "Value" my words. In other words: ...

Not everybody is willing to do his homework.

Finally he says that he is hiding his pearls. He is not throwing them before the swine. Desmond Lee, the translator of Plato's REPUBLIC, has assigned letters A, B, C, and D to Plato's "Line". Jonathan Star has called Ching 01.1 "Section A", 01.2 "Section B", 01.3 "Section C" and Ching 01.4 "Section D".

DAB Do A to B

012345678911234567892123456789312345678941234567895123456789612345689706

a A"1""1" Ma06A S"2""20" . =A"3"Bb01 =A"4"Bb13 =A"7"Gb25 Ia01 . Da06 . Bb33

b MAc01 . Ba01 MAc10 . Ba01 Mac50 R X"4""2" /"8""2" %"8""2".M"DAB"x90

c

On the previous three lines you have a "Job-description" for a computer program. The purpose of this flier is to get a Coder (C) who can do the coding that will convert the demand, we have here, into its supply. This means that the "Job-description", we have here, must become a working program, or subroutine.

Let us walk through it instruction by instruction. A"1""1" reads Add "1" to "1" . Ma06A reads: Move the sum, we have at line a column 06, to A. A is an indirect address. There are 26 indirect addresses in DAB, from A to Z. S"2""20" reads: Subtract "2" from "20". The . that comes next, means Stop. If you have taken your cursor to a01 and pressed Enter, you can now see what your computer has done. It has added a "1" to a "1" and Subtracted two from twenty. To keep going, you can press Enter or type a blank. Either way DAB will keep going.

The =A"3"Bc02 we get next, is a conditional instruction. The =A"3" is a conditional phrase that converts an unconditional instruction into a conditional one. The IBM 1401 machine language only had conditional Branch instruction. In DAB all instructions can become conditional. =A"3"Bc02 reads: If the content of A =(equals)"3" Branch to line b column 02. At b02 is the M of MBc01. When DAB Branches to a nonblank, it searches to the left looking for quotemarks and a blank. After it found it, it determines if the last nonblank was an A, M, S, B, G, I, D, R, ., X, /, %, the equal sign (=), the greater than or the smaller than sign. If it is not, DAB hangs up. Coders (C) need this level of detail. You can save computer time by going to the blank in front of an instruction. There can be up to six blanks in front of an instruction, but if you get more than six DAB will stop and exit the program mode. The Ba01, we get at b09 will take you back to the beginning of the program. Then DAB looks for a "4", and then for a "7". If it is, it goes through the same routine again. It is best for customers, or students, to play around with DAB on their own. When DAB gets more than six blanks it will stop and exit the program mode. DAB is not an instruction even though D is a proper opcode. But the format of the instruction is wrong. D means Decrement a number letter or special character. The A operant is always One. If D, I, B or G are followed by more than one operant, DAB hangs up.

At the beginning of this file I called the coding we have in line a and b a "computer program". If it is, it is a strange one. Where is the customer's (A) "job-description"? Where is

the programmer's (B) flowchart? Is what we get on line c really the output of a proper computer program? What else is DAB good for? It can be used as a programmable calculator. A person, who has learned to use DAB, may be willing to give us a voluntary donation. There are also improvements from BASIC. If the creators of the IBM computer programming system got a copyright on it, it would have expired by now. I didn't get a copyright for my improvements either. So a Programmer (B), Coder (C), or hacker, who can do the job, can simply do it and find ways to get paid for it, or simply put it out on the internet and ask for donations. If s/he is a nice person s/he might give some credit to me, but s/he doesn't have to. S/he can also see me on Tuesdays from 1 to 5 at the Parkdale Library on Queen at Brock. look for the flier next to me.

I have developed DAB to save money. In 1964, when I took the seven Week IBM computer programming course, computer time was paid for by the minute because the IBM 1401 computer cost a quarter of a million dollars. So we "patched" DAB into a general purpose program I had developed and we could use it without having to compile it. In this way we saved a lot of money because compiling took a lot of time. So for us, necessity was the mother of invention. The general purpose program could be compared to a black box.

I was a 50/50 partner in a company called Saanes Computer Publications. Jim Saanes was my partner. Because we were in front of S in the Yellow Pages, we got jobs that way. In 1973 I was accepted by J. G. Bennett into his one year course in England and that takes money. So I sold my share to Jim. Over the years Jim had learned to use DAB. If he couldn't do that the business couldn't continue and Jim couldn't pay me off. So Jim could take over as a Programmer and I could take off to England. So DAB has been very useful to me. But the IBM 1401 is long gone, and DAB is an outdated language. The question is now: What else can it be used for? ... I have already mentioned the programmable calculator. As you can see from the coding I have given in my "job-description", we have the four mathematical operations that are also in a calculator. But a calculator can't do the computations we can do with DAB. If somebody learns to code in DAB s/he might come up with other business applications for it, which I can't think of because I have been out of programming for a long time. But DAB can be used as a learning tool. The IBM 1401 machine language comes closest to a "source code". It can also be used as a game. Astrological Fire signs (A) can play the Customer (A), Air signs (B) are the programmers (B), Water signs (C) are the Coders (C) and the Computer is the Earth sign (D).

Ultimately we must know DAB because the 1% don't want us to know it. Desmond Lee, the translator of Plato's REPUBLIC, has a footnote under what has been translated as "mind your own business". It can be rendered more accurately as: "Do your own thing". Of the four partners in the computer programming business, the Computer (D) is doing it best. With DAB the Coder (C) can almost talk to it in English. When you have learned to make use of DAB you will ask: Why was this beautiful language phased out? ...